



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/668,421

09/22/2000

Mohan Ananda

81045.913D3

2663

22804 7590 10/28/2008

THE HECKER LAW GROUP
1925 CENTURY PARK EAST
SUITE 2300
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

EXAMINER

SHERR, CRISTINA O

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3685

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/28/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/668,421	Applicant(s) ANANDA, MOHAN	
	Examiner CRISTINA OWEN SHERR	Art Unit 3685	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 June 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 198, 203, 204, 213, 214, 216, 219, 220, 222, 223 and 241-243 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 198, 203, 204, 213, 214, 216, 219, 220, 222, 223, 241, 242, and 243 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's Amendment filed June 18, 2008. Claims 198, 203, 204, 213, 214, 216, 219, 220, 222, 223, and 241-243 are currently pending in this case. Claims 198, 203, 204, 216, 219, 220, 222, 223, and 241-243 are currently amended.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 18, 2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 198, 203, 204, 213, 214, 216, 219, 220, 222, 223, and 241-243, as currently amended, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3685

5. Claims 198, 213, 214, 216, 219, 220, and 241-243 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitehouse (US 6,005,945) in view of Cordery et al (US 5,454,038).

6. Regarding claim 198 –

7. Whitehouse discloses a system for transferring items having value in a computer network (see, e.g., abs) comprising:

a plurality of user terminals coupled to a computer network (e.g. fig 4 and assoc. text, col 7 ln 54-col 8 ln 3);

a database system coupled to said network and remote from said plurality of user terminals for storing information about one or more users using said plurality of user terminals (e.g. col 10, ln 45-col 11 ln 29) ; and

a server system coupled to said network, said server system comprising:

cryptographic capabilities for transferring an item having value to a user terminal issuing a specific request for said item having value utilizing said information stored in said database system (col 7 ln 54- col 8 ln 63, col 27, ln 9-28, col 12 ln 16-26).

8. Whitehouse, does not specifically disclose, but Cordery does, wherein said server system is configured to continue verifying authentication of said specific request over time while said item having value is transferred to said user terminal and wherein said user terminal is configured to terminate said transfer of said item having value if said authentication fails while said transfer is taking place, said authentication

Art Unit: 3685

comprising the exchange of a non-predetermined pseudo random number parameter created specifically for said specific request. (e.g. col 9 ln 33- col 10 ln 39).

9. It would have been obvious, therefore, to a practitioner of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the authentication step of Cordery as well as the encryption/decryption method to that of Whitehouse for further enhancing the security of the communication in addition to better prevention of unauthorized requests as well as securing the storage of the data within the database using secret keys.

10. Regarding claims 213-214, 241-243 –

11. Whitehouse discloses as previously discussed. The system of claim 198, wherein said cryptographic capabilities comprise a cryptographic device that protects data using a stored secret or password. (e.g., col. 4, line 55-col. 7, line 15).

12. Regarding claim 216 –

13. Whitehouse discloses a method for secure processing of items having value in a computer network comprising a plurality of user terminals (see, e.g., abs) comprising:

storing information about one or more users using a plurality of user terminals in a database system coupled to a network and remote from said plurality of user terminals(e.g. fig 4 and assoc. text, col 7 ln 54-col 8 ln 3, col 10, ln 45-col 11 ln 29); and

performing secure functions for an item having value in response to a specific request from a user terminal utilizing said information stored in said database system to execute cryptographic capabilities remote from user terminal(col 7 ln 54- col 8 ln 63, col 27, ln 9-28, col 12 ln 16-26).

Art Unit: 3685

14. Whitehouse, does not specifically disclose, but Cordery does continuing to verify authentication over time during performance of said secure functions for said item having value; and terminating said performance of secure functions for said item having value if said authentication fails while said secure functions are being performed, said authentication comprising the exchange of a non-predetermined pseudo random number parameter created specifically for said specific request. (e.g. col 9 ln 33- col 10 ln 39).

15. It would have been obvious, therefore, to a practitioner of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the authentication step of Cordery as well as the encryption/decryption method to that of Whitehouse for further enhancing the security of the communication in addition to better prevention of unauthorized requests as well as securing the storage of the data within the database using secret keys.

16. Regarding claim 219 -

17. Cordery discloses authenticating the identity of a user. (e.g. col 9 ln 33- col 10 ln 39).

18. Regarding claim 220 –

19. Cordery discloses verifying that the authenticated user is authorized to print said item having value. (e.g. col 10 ln 40-45).

20. Claims 203-204, 222-223 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitehouse (US 6,005,945) in view of Cordery et al (US 5,454,038) further in view of Kara (US 5,822,739).

21. Whitehouse discloses as previously disclosed.

Art Unit: 3685

22. Regarding claims 203-204, 222-223 –

23. Cordery discloses:

- a database that comprises data for creating indicia, account maintenance, and revenue protection (e.g., col. 6, lines 49-53)
- where the value-bearing item is a mail-piece (e.g., postage for mail)
- where the cryptographic device generates a digital signature (e.g. signature = token, abs, fig. 4,(414) , col 2 ln 63- col 3 ln 3, col 6 ln 55 – col 7 ln 8).
- where the cryptographic device encrypts the request information (col. 3, line 65- col. 4, line 3, col. 6, lines 49-53).

24. Kara discloses that the server (e.g., the Meter program) verifies whether the proper funding is available for the transaction requested by the user (e.g. col. 13, lines 31-45). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a plurality of postal security device data (e.g., prepaid postage credit) stored in the database for ensuring authenticity or authority of each user (e.g., to prevent fraud by unauthorized users), wherein each postal security device data is related to one of the users and the postal security device data related to the one of the users is loaded into

25. the cryptographic device when one of the users requests to print a value-bearing item (e.g., to prevent fraud by unauthorized users).

26. Further, Cordery does not explicitly disclose the claimed public and private keys. However, as shown by Kara (e.g., col. 10, lines 18-29), the claimed public/private key feature is well-known encryption method in the art.

Art Unit: 3685

27. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

28. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

29. Le Carpentier (US 4,752,950) discloses a remote control system for franking machines.

30. Lee (US 5,657,698) discloses a franking machine system.

31. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CRISTINA OWEN SHERR whose telephone number is (571)272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.

32. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Calvin L. Hewitt, II can be reached on (571)272-6709. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3685

33. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cristina Owen Sherr
Patent Examiner, AU 3685

/Calvin L Hewitt II/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3685